

## TABLES OF CONTENTS

Accreditation Committee Docs relating to the Grievance filed against the Green Party of Colorado, June 13, 2017

### **Folder 0**

0.1 Timeline of AC work and events from June 13 2017 to August 10, 2017

0.2 Chronology of Recalls and Expulsions from the GPCO - 2\_09\_2018

### **Folder 1 - Grievance**

TTOC:

1.1 Grievance filed against the Green Party of Colorado (GPCO, or Respondents) by group of Colorado Greens calling themselves "Colorado Committee to Restore Green Values" (RGV) 6\_13\_2017

- Links to documentation provided by RGV are included in the form

1.2 Links to documentation folders, requested in September of 2017, by GPUS SC

1.3 Notes from AC Conference Call with representatives of the GPCO/Respondents

1.4 RGV responses to AC questions

1.5 Letter from Respondents

1.6 Response from AC to Respondents

1.A An Introduction to the Accreditation Committee's First Report and Response to the Complaint

1.B Accreditation Committee's First Report and Response to the Restore Green Values Complaint, July 14, 2017

This includes:

The Colorado Committee Restore Green Values Grievance, which includes a link to their documentation

Introduction, and First Report & Response from the AC, July 14, 2017

Notes from a call between the AC and members of the Green Party of Colorado, or "Respondents," clarifying current AC position

Response from GPCO/Respondents

Message from AC to Respondents clarifying AC's current position

## **Folder 2 - Bylaws**

TOC:

### 2. A - GPCO Bylaws

GPCO Bylaws, current

GPCO Bylaws, August 2015

2.1 List of alleged violations of GPCO state bylaws

2.2 List of alleged violations of GPCO Procedures and Guidelines

2.3 List of further alleged violations of GPCO Procedures and Guidelines

2.4 Treasurer's Report concern, August 12, 2017

2.5 Officer Positions - Questions 12\_2017

2.6 Reports from Green Party of Colorado State Meeting, August 12, 2017

2.6.a Screenshot of GPCO State Meeting Agenda, August 10, 2017

2.6.b Reports submitted by RGV members of GPCO state meeting, August 12-13, 2017

Confirmed allegations of bylaws violations re: lack of actual treasury report; failure to announce all GPCO offer positions for election; failure to include one or more mandated agenda items

Concern regarding attempt to switch officer positions outside of official process

Allegation that GPCO co-chair had been acting as national alternate delegate without informing entire state party

2.6.c GPCO-RGV Communications re Proposals 8\_10\_2017

## **Folder 3 - Communications**

TOC:

### 3.1 Communications Documentation

3.1.a Explanation of 3.1.b - GPCO Forum Info 8\_25\_2017

3.1. b Centralization of control of communications, submitted by RGV 8\_26\_2017

3.2 Account from creator of GPCO state forum

### 3.3 Communications blocked

- 3.3.a Intro to account of blocked communications
- 3.3.b Instances of blocked communications
- 3.3.c Example: comment on removal of forum content
- 3.3.d Information sought on forum access revocation

### 3.4 County chapter attempt to have delegate added to forum 3\_11\_2018

## **Folder 4 - Dispute Resolution**

On August 31, 2017, due to escalation of concerns noted in the AC report of July, 2017, the AC requested that RGV and Respondents engage in a dispute resolution process conducted by the national GPUS Dispute Resolution Committee (DRC). Initially, both parties objected. Respondents stated they would engage only if the complaint were dropped, and other conditions which were rejected by the DRC. The RGV eventually agreed to mediation. Respondents stated they needed two weeks for a proposal to vote on the matter; after two weeks, another week was requested. After that week, either nothing more was heard, or the DRC received a negative reply. A screenshot of the GPCO state committee forum during that time period involved shows that no proposal regarding mediation was submitted.

In the interest of clarity, documents are included that show the progress of some of the DRC's work and reactions from both members of the GPCO/Respondents and RGV. At the time of the AC's initial report (July 14, 2017), Respondents complained that the RGV should have taken up an internal grievance process, and later complained that the national DRC was non-functional. Documents 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 established that the DRC was functional and operating; and Item 4.7 confirmed that there was no internal dispute resolution process in GPCO at the time the grievance was filed.

TOC:

4.1 Accreditation Committee Formal Request for Dispute Resolution 8\_31\_2017

4.2 Dispute Resolution Committee (DRC) Intake Report and Recommendations  
10\_01\_2017

*[NOTE: AC members found this review sloppy and inaccurate; for instance, NO ONE mentioned anything about "the recount." Further review followed up by DRC co-chairs and other members of that committee proved more competent.]*

4.3 RGV Acceptance of DRC mediation

4.4 RGV Objection to extending the deadline for all parties to accept DRC mediation

4.5 RGV Acceptance of DRC mediation without conditions

4.6 GPCO (Respondents) asked for time for a proposal to discuss and come to a

decision [*sometime between October 1st and 9th*]; no such proposal was made during the time in which Respondents were supposed to be considering the matter

4.7 Proposal, dated August 11, 2017, established that there was not an internal dispute resolution process in GPCO at the time the grievance was filed with the GPUS AC

## **Folder 5 - Revocations**

TOC:

5.1 Chronology of Recalls and Expulsions (copy of item 0.2)

*[NOTE: AS with the terms disaffiliation/dis-accreditation, more than one term have been applied here. What is being revoked or banned is participation from activities of the GPCO.]*

5.2 Revocation - Harry Hempy 8\_04\_2017

5.2.a Revocation of Rights of Participation 7\_12\_2017

5.2.b Revocation of Rights of Participation 7\_27\_2017

5.2.c Hempy respond to proposals 8\_03\_2017

5.2.d Hempy Letter to AC 8\_07\_2017

5.3 Disciplinary Action for Judy Harrington

5.3.a Harrington Action notification \_7\_13-2017

5.3.a.1 Harrington Action - clarifying notification 7\_9\_2017

5.3.a.2 5.4.a.2 Harrington Disciplinary Action 7\_19\_2017

5.3.b screenshots of disciplinary action notice 7\_12\_2017

5.3.c Harrington Action -question of post-decision bylaws update 7\_24\_2017

5.4 Revocations of Rights of Participation 12\_20\_2017

5.4.a GPCO\_Expulsion\_Emails 12\_27\_2017

5.4.b Revocation\_notice\_ Bninski 12\_28\_2017

5.4.c Revocation\_Bninski\_questions 12\_28\_2017

5.5. Revocation - Kevin Alumbaugh 1\_11\_2018

5.5.a Screen Shot of 12\_27\_2018 Proposal

5.5.b Screen Shot - Alumbaugh Response 1\_11\_2018

5.6 Revocations Proposals screenshot 1\_03\_2018

## **Accreditation Subcommittee Report and Response, August 23, 2018**

### **Addendum to Grievance - Ethics**

The AC subcommittee received an Addendum to the Grievance in October, 2017,

regarding a matter of campaign finance disclosure. The subcommittee considered this an important concern, but one which involved the behavior of an individual and not necessarily reflective of the state party as a whole.